Appendix C EQUALITY IMPACT ASSESSMENT

The **Equality Act 2010** places a '**General Duty**' on all public bodies to have '**due regard**' to the need to:

- Eliminating discrimination, harassment and victimisation and any other conduct prohibited under the Act;
- Advancing equality of opportunity between those with a 'relevant protected characteristic' and those without one;
- Fostering good relations between those with a 'relevant protected characteristic' and those without one.

In addition, the Council complies with the Marriage (same sex couples) Act 2013.

Stage 1 - Screening

Please complete the equalities screening form. If screening identifies that your proposal is likely to impact on protect characteristics, please proceed to stage 2 and complete a full Equality Impact Assessment (EqIA).

Stage 2 - Full Equality Impact Assessment

An EqIA provides evidence for meeting the Council's commitment to equality and the responsibilities under the Public Sector Equality Duty.

When an EqIA has been undertaken, it should be submitted as an attachment/appendix to the final decision-making report. This is so the decision maker (e.g. Cabinet, Committee, senior leader) can use the EqIA to help inform their final decision. The EqIA once submitted will become a public document, published alongside the minutes and record of the decision.

Please read the Council's Equality Impact Assessment Guidance before beginning the EqIA process.

1. Responsibility for the Equality Impact Assessment							
Name of proposal	Transport Review						
Service area	Special Educational Needs and Disabilities						
Officer completing assessment	Vikki Monk-Meyer						
Equalities/ HR Advisor	Hugh Smith						
Cabinet meeting date (if applicable)	8 th October 2019						
Director/Assistant Director	Eveleen Riordan						

2. Summary of the proposal

Please outline in no more than 3 paragraphs

- The proposal which is being assessed
- The key stakeholders who may be affected by the policy or proposal
- The decision-making route being taken



The council wishes to commission an external provider to review all aspects of the travel and transport service provided to children and adults with special educational needs and disabilities. The external review will cover:

- how decisions are made about whether and how to provide transport services to children and adults,
- the application and review process,
- how the routes are planned and delivered for children and adults,
- the staffing requirements of the service and range of commissioned vehicle providers,
- improvement of the services' external communications with schools and families.

The ultimate aim is to ensure the service is as cost effective as possible, whilst also supporting local resident's needs.

The majority of children and adults who use services provided by the travel and transport service are those attending special schools both in and out of the borough, although some adults with complex needs also travel to day centres and respite and also use the services. Key stakeholders are therefore;

- Those who have a special educational need and/or disability (SEND) and require travel assistance to access school or other educational services
- Users of adult social care that require supported transport to access care settings
- Parents of those children and young people with SEND or carers of those eligible for adult social care
- Residential homes/supported living

The service provides supported travel arrangements for over 500 children and young people needing assistance to travel to their educational establishment. The Council also currently provides supported travel to around 150 adults who need assistance in travelling to adult care services.

Supported travel arrangements can include (i) Haringey's in-house transport service, (ii) commissioned transport services from external providers, (iii) provision of an escort, and (iv) support with travel arrangements on public transport. The service's delivery of travel training and buddying will be maximised to promote young people's independence where possible.

The Council has a statutory requirement to have a Sustainable Modes of Travel Strategy and a Transport Policy Statement in place.

Nationally safe and efficient school transport and travel is a primary need for families of children with SEND. Locally we offer transport for children in line with our travel policy which includes the aspirations to promote independence as far as possible, whist ensuring children can attend to school in a timely way. The transport service has worked hard to implement new initiatives to support these aspirations, including travel buddies and independent travel training. As with many boroughs, the transport service is high cost for the number of children being transported. Currently 525 children and young people receive the service. The demand on the service are increasing in line with our new statutory duties to transport young people if they remain in education, which means a new cohort are being transported who are between the ages of 19 and 25 years. The combination of the above has placed additional strain on the current service provision and budget, resulting in both an overspend and also increased parental dissatisfaction.



In order to decide on whether an external provider needs to carry out this review, the service has spoken to local special schools and families about their views of the service and what they would like to see improved. The service has concluded that there is not the local capacity to thoroughly review all the above aspects of the service, and therefore an external provider is needed. There has therefore been a tender process with a range of transport specialists applying for the role of external reviewer. As a result of that tender process Edge has been successful candidate and we wish to award this company the contract.

3. What data will you use to inform your assessment of the impact of the proposal on protected groups of service users and/or staff?

Identify the main sources of evidence, both quantitative and qualitative, that supports your analysis. Please include any gaps and how you will address these

This could include, for example, data on the Council's workforce, equalities profile of service users, recent surveys, research, results of relevant consultations, Haringey Borough Profile, Haringey Joint Strategic Needs Assessment and any other sources of relevant information, local, regional or national. For restructures, please complete the restructure EqIA which is available on the HR pages.

Protected group	Service users	Staff
Sex	SEND Service Data	
Gender Reassignment	SEND Service Data	
Age	SEND Service Data	
Disability	SEND Service Data	
Race & Ethnicity	SEND Service Data	
Sexual Orientation	ONS	
Religion or Belief (or No Belief)	Census 2011	
Pregnancy & Maternity	ONS	
Marriage and Civil Partnership	Not applicable	

Outline the key findings of your data analysis. Which groups are disproportionately affected by the proposal? How does this compare with the impact on wider service users and/or the borough's demographic profile? Have any inequalities been identified?

Explain how you will overcome this within the proposal. Further information on how to do data analysis can be found in the guidance.

There are only a small number of staff in the transport team, so to analyse their specific characteristic risks them being identified and risks revealing personal information. An EQIA has therefore not been carried out on the staff group. The Council and the appointed contractor will take appropriate measures to ensure that no member of staff experiences discrimination, harassment, or victimisation.

The data used to inform the EQIA is the population of children and young people in Haringey who have an education health and care plan as this is the group of users most likely to use the service and from the joint strategic needs assessment.

Sex

25% of children and young people who have an education health and care plan are female and 75% are male, compared to an approximately even split in the wider



population of children and young people. This indicates that males are overrepresented among those likely to be affected by the proposal

Gender Reassignment

Fewer than five children and young people who have an education health and care plan identify with a gender different to the one with which they were assigned at birth.

<u>Age</u>

Age break down of children and young people accessing supported travel:

- 5- 9-year olds 19%
- 10- 14-year olds 36%
- 15 18-year olds 30%
- 19 -25-year olds 15%

This indicates that 85% of those likely to be affected by the decision are children and 15% are adults

Disability

All service users have some form of disability. The proportions of those disabilities among those using SEND transport is as follows:

- Learning disabilities /Autistic 31%
- Wheelchair user 13%
- Cerebral palsy 7%
- Down Syndrome 4%
- Blind/visually impaired 4%
- Various other disabilities 41%

Race and Ethnicity

39% service users described themselves as white, 33% are black/black Caribbean and black British 6% Asian and Asian British with the remainder from a range of ethnic groups or mixed background. Compared to all children and young people in Haringey, the service user cohort has a smaller proportion of individuals from BAME communities.

Sexual Orientation

Office for National Statistics data indicates that at a national level young people are more likely to identify as LGB than the population as a whole. Within the 16 to 24-year-old category 3.3% identify as LGB, compared to 1.7% of the general population. The data also indicates that London has a higher proportion of residents identifying as LGB than the national population.

Religion or Belief

Census 2011 data indicates that 42% of 8-19-year-olds in Haringey are Christian, 23% are Muslim, 4% are Jewish, 1% are Buddhist, 1% are Hindu, fewer than 1% are Sikh, and 19% have no religion.



Pregnancy and Maternity

The conception rate among SEND transport service users is not recorded. ONS data on under 18 conception rates in the year to September show an under-18 conception rate in Haringey 2016 of 20.3 per 1000 compared to the rate for London (17.9 per 1000) and for England and Wales (19.3 per 1000).

Marriage and Civil Partnership

The marital status of service users is not recorded. The proposal will not result in any differential impact on those in marriages as opposed to those in civil partnerships.

4. a) How will consultation and/or engagement inform your assessment of the impact of the proposal on protected groups of residents, service users and/or staff?

Please outline which groups you may target and how you will have targeted them

Further information on consultation is contained within accompanying EqIA guidance
Parents of children with disabilities have fed back current concerns about the transport
service in terms of planning of routes, types of transport provided and communications
from the service. This has come from feedback from events such as the Fairness
Commission and also the SEND services public events such as the 'Local Offer'
events. Feedback from the Local Offer event is published on the local offer website on:
https://www.haringey.gov.uk/children-and-families/local-offer/about-local-offer/send-local-offer-review-and-action-plan

This outlines areas that parents and families would like to see change.

The external provider has assured the council in the application and through communication with schools that they will work with local parent groups, SEND service, individuals and settings such as schools to co-produce proposed changes. The provider has a track record of engagement with settings and family groups and would be able to use the SEND service's current established communications as well as proposing a specific working party around progression of the transport changes.

The findings from the transport scoping indicated that key areas to address would be:

- Communication and engagement
- Application of policy
- Expansion of range of transport providers
- Cost comparison of costs per mile and deployment of resources such as escorts
- Planning of routes and size of vehicle
- Maximisation of the independent travel training and buddying schemes

4. b) Outline the key findings of your consultation / engagement activities once completed, particularly in terms of how this relates to groups that share the protected characteristics

Explain how will the consultation's findings will shape and inform your proposal and the decision-making process, and any modifications made?



We know that the families want the transport application process to be easier and want the online application changed, they want better understanding of the way that transport routes are planned and have nearer pick up points and smaller vehicles if possible. Parents want to be assured that the staff are well trained and that the vehicles and drivers are of good quality. Parents and families are positive about the independent travel training and transport buddy approaches and want this preserved. The provider has experience in all these areas and has a proven track record in developing services in a way that meets both the children and families' needs and stays within budget.

5. What is the likely impact of the proposal on groups of service users and/or staff that share the protected characteristics?

Please explain the likely differential impact on each of the 9 equality strands, whether positive or negative. Where it is anticipated there will be no impact from the proposal, please outline the evidence that supports this conclusion.

Further information on assessing impact on different groups is contained within accompanying EqIA guidance

1. Sex (Please outline a summary of the impact the proposal will have on this protected characteristic and cross the box below on your assessment of the overall impact of this proposal on this protected characteristic)

Positive	Negative	Neutral	Х	Unknown	
		impact		Impact	

Services are not planned based on an individual's sex. The Transport Service Review is deemed to have no impact on residents of different sexes as the policy is based on needs and applies equally irrespective of sex. There is no indication that residents of different sexes are treated differently under the terms of this policy or are disadvantaged compared to other groups by this policy, although we will continue to keep this under review. While it is notable that the majority of those likely to be affected by any service changes are males, and males therefore constitute the majority of those benefiting from improvements, females will not be disadvantaged in any way.

2. Gender reassignment (Please outline a summary of the impact the proposal will have on this protected characteristic and cross the box below on your assessment of the overall impact of this proposal on this protected characteristic)

We do not think there will be specific impacts for this protected group, but we will ensure that this group are not be subjected to discrimination, harassment and victimisation due to their protected characteristic. Services are not delivered differently according to a person's identified gender

Positive	Negative	Neutral	Χ	Unknown	
		impact		Impact	

3. Age (Please outline a summary of the impact the proposal will have on this protected characteristic and cross the box below on your assessment of the overall impact of this proposal on this protected characteristic)



Positive	Х	Negative	Ne	utral	Unknown	
			imp	oact	Impact	

The Travel Policy and Transport Service applies differently to children and young people who are in education from adults aged 18 or above eligible for Adult Social Care. This is because of the statutory duty on the Council to ensure that all children and young people can access education locally, and to ensure that complex disabilities or other factors do not present a barrier to this. For adults, the Council's Travel Policy is there to assist those adults who cannot independently travel to adult care services.

The majority of people affected will be children and young people where we anticipate that the impact will be a positive one in terms of journey times and ease of access to transport. If possible, young people who are over the age of 11 years will be offered the opportunity for independent travel training or travel buddying, thus increasing their ability to access leisure and hobbies and work opportunities independently in later life. This will be assessed and planned based on an individual's ability, routes to school and preferences.

4. Disability (Please outline a summary of the impact the proposal will have on this protected characteristic and cross the box below on your assessment of the overall impact of this proposal on this protected characteristic)

Positive	Х	Negative	Neutral	Unknown	
			impact	Impact	

The proposal will ensure that children with SEND who use the transport service are able to have a positive experience to get to school and are able to have their skills developed to maximise their independent travel training abilities. The Transport Service Review is deemed to have a positive impact upon residents with disabilities as it ensures that they will be able to access schools/services which meet their needs and are not discriminated against by being unable to access them due to not being able to get there.

5. Race and ethnicity (Please outline a summary of the impact the proposal will have on this protected characteristic and cross the box below on your assessment of the overall impact of this proposal on this protected characteristic)

Positive	Negative	Neutral	Х	Unknown	
		impact		Impact	

Services are not planned based on a child's race or ethnicity. The Transport Service Review is deemed to have no impact on residents of different races and ethnicity as the policy is based on needs and applies equally irrespective of ethnicity. There is no indication that residents of different races and ethnicity are treated differently under the terms of this policy or are disadvantaged compared to other groups by this policy, although we will continue to keep this under review and address any inequalities in a timely and proportionate way.

6. Sexual orientation (*Please outline a summary of the impact the proposal will have on this protected characteristic and cross the box below on your assessment of the*



overall impact of this proposal on this protected characteristic)

Services are not planned based on a person's sexual orientation. The Transport Service Review is deemed to have no impact on residents of different sexual orientations as the policy is based on needs and applies equally irrespective of sexual orientation. There is no indication that residents of different sexual orientation are treated differently under the terms of this policy or are disadvantaged compared to other groups by this policy, although we will continue to keep this under review.

Positive	Negative	Neutral	Х	Unknown	
		impact		Impact	

7. Religion or belief (or no belief) (Please outline a summary of the impact the proposal will have on this protected characteristic and cross the box below on your assessment of the overall impact of this proposal on this protected characteristic)

Services are not planned based on a person's religion or belief. The Transport Service Review takes into consideration children, young people and parent's choice to apply for admission to a particular faith school, even if this is not the closest school to them by travel distance. This will ensure that those children and young people who want to attend a faith school because of their religion/beliefs are not indirectly prevented from doing so because of proximity restrictions on travel support and therefore this is a positive impact of the transport offer.

Positive	Negative	Neutral	Х	Unknown	
		impact		Impact	

8. Pregnancy and maternity (*Please outline a summary of the impact the proposal will have on this protected characteristic and cross the box below on your assessment of the overall impact of this proposal on this protected characteristic)*

The Transport Service Review is deemed to have no impact on residents who are pregnant or who have children under the age of one, as the policy is based on needs and applies equally irrespective of pregnancy/maternity. There is no indication that residents who are pregnant are treated differently under the terms of this policy or are disadvantaged compared to other groups by this policy, although we will continue to keep this under review.

Positive	Negative	Neutral	Χ	Unknown	
		impact		Impact	

9. Marriage and Civil Partnership (Consideration is only needed to ensure there is no discrimination between people in a marriage and people in a civil partnership)

Positive	Negative	Neutral	Х	Unknown	
		impact		Impact	

The Transport Service Review is deemed to have no impact on residents who are married or in civil partnerships, as the policy is based on needs and applies equally irrespective of marital status. There is no indication that residents who are married or in civil partnerships are treated differently under the terms of this policy or are



disadvantaged compared to other groups by this policy, although we will continue to keep this under review.

10. Groups that cross two or more equality strands e.g. young black women

To the extent that these groups are represented in the service user cohort, the proposal will have an impact on:

- Children and young people with SEND
- Girls and young women with SEND
- BAME young people with SEND
- LGBT+ young people with SEND
- Children and young people with SEND from minority faith communities

Outline the overall impact of the policy for the Public Sector Equality Duty:

- Could the proposal result in any direct/indirect discrimination for any group that shares the relevant protected characteristics?
- Will the proposal help to advance equality of opportunity between groups who share a relevant protected characteristic and those who do not? This includes:
 - a) Remove or minimise disadvantage suffered by persons protected under the Equality Act
 - b) Take steps to meet the needs of persons protected under the Equality Act that are different from the needs of other groups
 - c) Encourage persons protected under the Equality Act to participate in public life or in any other activity in which participation by such persons is disproportionately low
- Will the proposal help to foster good relations between groups who share a relevant protected characteristic and those who do not?

The service review will not result in any direct or indirect discrimination to any of the protected groups.

In particular, the review will help to advance equality of opportunity between those with disabilities and those without by continuing to ensure that those with disabilities are able to access schools that are not local to them, that they would otherwise be unable to access without travel assistance.

6. a) What changes if any do you plan to make to your proposal as a result of the Equality Impact Assessment?

Further information on responding to identified impacts is contained within accompanying EqIA guidance

Y/N
Υ
Ν



Stop and remove the proposal: the proposal shows actual or potential avoidable adverse impacts on different protected characteristics. The decision maker must not make this decision.

6 b) Summarise the specific actions you plan to take to remove or mitigate any actual or potential negative impact and to further the aims of the Equality Duty

Impact and which relevant protected characteristics are impacted?	Action	Lead officer	Timescale
N/A			

Please outline any areas you have identified where negative impacts will happen as a result of the proposal, but it is not possible to mitigate them. Please provide a complete and honest justification on why it is not possible to mitigate them.

6 c) Summarise the measures you intend to put in place to monitor the equalities impact of the proposal as it is implemented:

There will be a steering group chaired by the Assistant Director for Schools and Learning and comprising the councils, parents and partner organisations who will be responsible for monitoring the implementation and outcomes of the review and any subsequent changes to the transport service. Outcomes for protected groups will be monitored within this activity and any identified inequity will be rectified

7. Authorisation	
EqIA approved by Eveleen Riordan	Date
(Assistant Director/ Director)	

8. Publication

Please ensure the completed EqIA is published in accordance with the Council's policy.

Please contact the Policy & Strategy Team for any feedback on the EqIA process.

